

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE: DAVID KOPLow,
Movant

M.B.D. No.
13-mc-91184-DPW

ORDER

WOODLOCK, D.J.

By Order September 3, 2015, the court denied permission to David Koplow ("Koplow"), a litigant enjoined from filing pro se complaints without receiving leave of court, see Koplow v. Dana, et al., Nos. 01-10868-DPW; 03-10061-DPW 2003 WL 1701026, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4874 (D. Mass. Mar. 13, 2003), aff'd, Nos. 03-1655, 03-1658 (1st Cir. Mar. 4, 2004), for filing a civil rights action against Edward Rizzo, his former landlord doing business as R&R Fifth Realty; Brian Kyes, Chief of the Chelsea Police Department; and four Chelsea Police Officers. See Docket No. 4.

Two weeks later, on September 20, 2013, Koplow filed a motion seeking until October 21, 2013 to file a motion for reconsideration. See Docket No. 5. On October 22, 2013, Koplow filed a motion for reconsideration with proposed amendment. See Docket Nos. 6, 7. On December 4, 2013, Koplow filed a motion to allow amendment to his petition, and almost ten months later, on September 22, 2014, Koplow submitted his proposed amendment. See Docket Nos. 8, 9.

Through the proposed amendment, Koplow again seeks to assert claims stemming from a May 12, 2009 incident that led to Koplow's arrest, prosecution and eviction. In 2010, Koplow was unsuccessful in seeking to remove the eviction action to federal

court. See In Re: David Lee Koplow, 10-mc-10114-NMG. As explained in the court's September 3, 2013 Order, even assuming Koplow's claims were sufficiently stated, they are time-barred. See Docket No. 4.

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The motion (#8) to allow amendment is DENIED; and
2. The motions (#5, #6) for extension of time are treated as moot.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Douglas P. Woodlock
DOUGLAS P. WOODLOCK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATE: September 28, 2015